Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,955	FLOCKERZI, DIETER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SARAH PIHONAK	1627
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>SARAH PIHONAK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>SHELDON MCGEE</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 September 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All		
Claims discussed: 2-6 and 8		
Prior art documents discussed: All		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant's attorney, Sheldon McGee, was contacted regarding a rejection of claims 2-6 and 8 for nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-3 of US Patent No. 7,470,704. A terminal disclaimer was filed on 10/5/2009, which was approved on 10/8/2009. Claims 10-11 were cancelled in a telephone conversation with Sheldon McGee. Claims 2-6 and 8 are allowed.